

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,909	09/27/2001	Jay Paul Drummond	D-1147R1	D-1147R1 5701	
28995	7590 02/13/2004		EXAMINER		
RALPH E. JOCKE 231 SOUTH BROADWAY			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
MEDINA, O			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

			\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/966,909	DRUMMOND ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
÷	Alain L. Bashore	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of th	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Section 23. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 2. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the		•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	D-152)

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 is rejected as an improper dependent claim and therefore vauge and indefinite. Since the computer readable media may perform other methods it is not a proper dependant claim. The test as to whether a claim is a proper dependant claim is that it shall include every limitation of the claim from which it depends, or in other words that it shall not conceivably be infringed by anything which would not also infringe the basic claim (see MPEP 608.01(n)).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drummond et al.

Drummond et al discloses a method and apparatus including automated banking machine with cash dispenser (14), portable wireless device with memory (fig 13-16),

Art Unit: 3624

receiving and sending wireless communication signals to and from the machine and device including RF (para 0200) via Internet (508) and server (46). The signals may include data reprehensive of a financial account. The automated banking machine includes a computer (CPU) and an external network interface. The portable wireless device includes a memory (fig 13) and may be a voice communication device (542).

6. Claims 7-8, 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drummond et al in view of Fischer et al.

Drummond et al discloses what is described in the previous rejection.

Drummond et al does not disclose a wireless access hub.

Fischer et al discloses a wireless hub (col 3, lines 30-40).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a wireless hub because Fischer et al teaches a hub as providing a pipeline for communications of a wireless network (col 3, line 26).

7. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drummond et al in view of Fischer et al as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Laybourn et al.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drummond et al in view of Laybourn et al.

Art Unit: 3624

Neither Drummond et al nor Fischer et al disclose a usage fee, or a fee charged responsive to device enabled to communicate with network.

Laybourn et al discloses a fee charged responsive to device enabled to communicate with network (col 1, lines 18-25).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a fee charged responsive to device enabled to communicate with network because Laybourn et al teaches such as conventional in the wireless art (col 1, lines 20-21).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Alain L. Bashore